

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/780,494	02/17/2004	L. Robert Deardurff	1-37235	1544		
43935	7590 10/19/2005		EXAMINER			
	ARTIN BUCHANAN SECOND STREET	ACQUAH, S	ACQUAH, SAMUEL A			
	RG, OH 43551-1401		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1711			

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Commence		10/780,494	DE	EARDURFF, L.	ROBERT				
Office Action Summary			Examiner	Аг	t Unit				
			SAMUEL A. ACQUAF	1					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover she	et with the corre	espondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. atutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMM (a). In no event, however, n apply and will expire SIX (6 ause the application to become	IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely fi MONTHS from the nome ABANDONED (38)	iled nailing date of this α 5 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
′=									
/—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		•						
4)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.								
· —									
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□.	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner				•			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		oted or b) objecte	d to by the Exa	miner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any object	-	, ·	•					
			= : :	-		R 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of	the certified copies	not received.					
Attachment	(c)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or l No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other: 						

Application/Control Number: 10/780,494

Art Unit: 1711

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Page 2

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,734,234. This is a double patenting rejection.
 - 3. References listed on PTO-1449 have been made part of the record.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. ACQUAH whose telephone number is 571-272-1065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, FRIDAYS OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAMES SEIDLECK can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,494 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.A.A. 10/15/05

> SAMUEU/A. ACQUAH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1288 170 0